





Tn late February, dramatic changes in Europe completely reversed the world trend. We brought to our readers $n$ full exposition of this new shift in the March PLaIN fruit.
The article stated: "Tremendous developments will now follow." And they have followed!
The article showed how the way had suddenly opened for the prophesied revival of the ROMAN DMPIRE, and grouping of the world's nations into three massive armed camps.

## EVENTS THAT

ROCKED THE WORLD.
Since that article appeared other events have rocked the world, extortby following this new trend, fulfilling Bible prophecies.
Hitler suddenly and dramatically annexed Austria, One more nation has been absorbed into the FascistNazi sweep toward the Roman Emvire revived.
France has entered a diplomatic "understanding" with Mussolini!
And, following Great Britain's sudden "about-face" policy, as outlined in the March issue, England, 100 , has come to a diplomatic "understanding" with Il Duce.
And lastly, Mussolini hiss entertain. ul Yitler with a multip!enmid) ion dollar Roman holiday, thus solidifying, before the world's gaze w the newlysunned "ROME-BERLLN SUUS." E-
$\mathrm{H}_{\text {ow }}$ Mussolini and Hitler are restoring the ROMas rampuie in cremona.
Who will dominate, ritter or Mussolini?
How will it nefoat the United states and Groat britain?

Firvoryone following; world events pultiling miles prophecies will wat to rend this onllfhtonturs arthete,
vents are marching with increasing momentum, exactly in the prophesied line!

In this short article we wish to show further how these prophecies are taking place under our very eyes. There is no need again to review the prophecies themselves, Suffice it to say we are here particularly concerned with the fulfillment of the 17 th of Revelation-the revival of the ROMAN EMPIRE to world power. The prophecy shows this will come thru ten dictators in Europe giving their power and strength to the Dictator at Rome. It shows the pope will be officially acknowledged, and then turn ed upon and Catholicism destroyed, as soon as the pope has been used to serve his purpose. The prophecy furthe shows that the vast army of this ten-nation PRMDTKM will be engaged in the final battle of the world, at ARMAGEDDOM, at the Second Com. ing of Cluist; © other prophecies, in Daniel 11 and Mech. 14, show how

Mussolini, with this great TMPIRE perfected will go to war against Great Britain over possession of the Meditcranean, taking Egypt and part of Palestine, including just half of the city of Jerusalem.

## THE OBJECTIVES OF

## MUSSOLINI I AND HITLER

Ever since the hlack-shirts marched on Rome, Mussolini has planned and worked according to a single fixed purpose-the revival of the ROMAN EMPIRA. His ambitions lie almost wholly in the Mediterranean area. As wo printed out in the March nurnber, it is not necessary to fulfil the proph-ecies-nor, for that matter, to fulfil Mussolini's ambitions-that the moden Empire occupy the exact territu:y of old. Incleed the boundary lines, even then, were continually shifting, But it is necessary, to fulfil both prophecy and Mussolini's purposes, that the NGW Terapire must control the Mediterranean Sea! That, then, is the scope of "fussolini's ambitions.

And now what are HItler's ambletons? They have been well known ever since the publication of "Join Samar"
rustle): does not aspire to rube the Ford. His goal is to solidifity the Genrnin rice - to make it the Lo, INADY'd European race, dombuting. (Continued om Page 1)
industrially, and politically, but not nusessarily ruling Central Europeecpeciully East and Southeast of Germany. He wants to extend German economic dominance into the Balkans, opening a road from Berlin clear to Bagdad.

## Theso Dictators Free Obstrclos

But Mussolini has his problems. So, likewise, has Hitler.
Italy is commercially weak! Italy emerged from the world war relatively WEAKER in the Mediterranean than either Britnin or Franco. FASCISM IS AN EFFORT TO CHANGE THIS DISPROPORTION! Economically Italy is the weakest of the seven "great world powers." It lacks those essential resources-iron, coal, copper tin, nickel, chromite, tungsten, rubber, cotton, etc.,-necessary to industrial and military dominance. Italy lacks the territory it needs for its increasing population, and as a source of raw materials. Geographically, it is at a great disadvantage-hemmed into the Mediterranean with its only gates to the sea, the Suez Canal and the Strait of Gibralter, under British control. As long as Great Britain controls the Mediterannean, Mussolini's dreams must remain but dreams. Then, too, far too much of the territory bordering the Mediteranneanso vital to restored EMPIRE--belongs to other nations stronger than Italy, Most of the African shoreline, excent Spanish Moracco and the: Libian dessert, belong :o France and leritain.
Such obstacles would discournge a weaker heart than M. [ussolini's.
Now consider Hitler's disndvantages Today Germany stands armed as one of the world's great powers. But, ns Hitler strives for economic and industrial dominance in the Balkans, and on toward Bagdad, he will conflict with Mussolini. Tuther, he is hoping to expand his intluence into territory that is strictly E Eman Catholic, and his anti-Catholic camjaign, in deve!oping his own personal religion, has offended the Pope and all Roman Catholics, These finctors malke Hitler's objectives absolutely impossible by his own efforts,
Many, looking at the European sitpation as it is today, would carelessly assume that Hitler stands a much stronger figure than Mussolini, They might expect that he, and not Mussolini, would emerge the real Emperor wher the new Impira comes into being. They might argue that neither Hitler nor Mussolini ever would "knuckle under" to the other and Fitler heads a nation of greater popula-
tion, greater resources, greater potential power.

But all the strategic advantages are with Mussolini.

## How Mussolini Will Dominate

Mussolini stands in just the strategio position ho has plannocl. Notther the plans of Hitler or Mussolini can be carried out without the influence of the pope! And only Mussolini can. "arrange it" with the pope. The privately no more Roman Catholic thanHitler, officially he is Catholic for political reasons and has established a definite "deal" and relationship with the pope.

Of the two dictators, Mussolini is the more astute politician. He inherits the Old Italian sense of strategy. This old Italian game is to pit one power or group against anothe. Ancient Caesare played it Italian rulers played it thru the midievil period.
Mussolini looked over tho situation, and saw France and Great Britain uncler Anthony Eden's leadership, exerting tremendous pressure, thru the League of Nations against his conquest of Ethiopia and his geeret nid in Spain, Single-handed he could not cope with his powerful influence. Jile also has known Hitler's ambitions in the Balkans,
And so Mussolinl proceeded to get hlin foot into the German camp. He came to en undorstundine, ontered a certain alliance, with Fritler. This is to Hitlers's advantage, for he well knows he cannot achieve his ambitions without the consent of Mussolini and the pope. So the "ROMEBERLIN AXIS" was borm, This axis swings a balance of power in Europe. $2 W_{\text {hen }}$ Mussolinl played this alliance acninst Great Britian and France. With it he wes able to defy them. With it he forced Diclen's resignation at London, and the "about-face" poli:y of Prime Minister Chamberlain,

## PITTING ONE AGAINST THE OTHER

But eventually even this alliance with Nazi Germany would lead to clash over rival interests in the Balkans. This, too, Mussolini foresees, Hitler might try to dominate the "Rome-Berlin axis" and the entire Ralkin region, And so Il Duce proceded also to get his foot into the rival Anglo-French camp! He has just completed a diplomatice "understanding" with both France and Great Britain! Now he can pit THEM against Herr Hitler!
Eventually Mussolini must come to war with Britain to accomplish
his goal of Empire. No one knows this better than he. He must control the Mediterannean, It is the yery LIFE of the envisioned reborn Rome. Also it is the very life-line of that British Empire. It is a matter 'tia $:$ cannot be compromised. But Musslolini is not yet ready

Ingland would conquer him today. So he is willing to bile his time, playo ing the game, pittiug power against powor until things are maneuverad as he wants them. And so it serves his present purpose to enter a diplormatic "undersanding" with Great Britain. He has agreed to British dominance of the Mediterranean, and also to withdraw his troops, which have already served their purpose, from Spain. In this he has nothing to lose for the time being-but he GAINED British recognition of his conquest of Ethiopia and in Sprin. He will use Great Britain to bring about his ROMAN EMPIRE! And then, after Britain has served him in the effort, When he has the united military strongth of the TEN NATIONS under his power, he will wield that power againgt Great Britain in open battle for the Mediterranean.

Since Italy is not strong enough to conquer those nations occupying the territory of the Roman Empire, Mussolini is forced to resort to the methods described in Rev. 17:12-18, making an allimse with these ten nations, Turu his recertly-perfocted "understandings" with Fitler, France, and England, he has laid the ground work for: his plan,

In other words, Mussolini will gain most of the territory he craves by penceable n!liauce, finally welded into an EMPIRE wholly under his control -and with this power he will take the balance by force. Thus he plans to overcome his handicaps of insufficent resources, territory, and population,

When it comes to the final showdown,Hitler will see that the only way he can exert German economic dominance thru the Talkins is by coming into Mussoloini's EMPIRE. No doubt Mussolini will make heavy ecoromic concessions to Eitler. But Mussolini will be in control. He will command all the armies. He will be the EMPEROR! At least tin; is the indication now.

## THE TOES OF IRON AND CLAX

In closing, please notice a significant fact in the prophecy in Daniel 2.

The entire Gentile succession of world Empires, from Babylon to the Rome of today, is pictured here. And whereas the ancient ROMAN EM(Continued on Page 8)

TT is commonly supposed, today, Jesus was crucified on FRIDAY, and that the resurrection occurred about sunrise on Easter Sunday morning.
It would seem that no one, until recently, ever thought to question or to PROVE this "Good-Friday-Easter tradition. Yet the Bible tells us to PROVE all things.
For PROOF there is but one dependable authority-a sole historical record, the Bible.

## tradition no evidence

There were no eye-witnesses to the resurrection. Even ancient so-called "apostolic fathers" had no source of information save that record which is todny available to us. Tradition, then, must be dismissed.
What are the recorded facts?
The doubting Pharisees were asking Jesus for a SIGN-a a supernatura! evidence-in proof of His Messiahship.
Jesus answered: "An evil and adulterous generation seeketh after a sign; and there shall :oo sign be given to it, but the sign of the prophet Jonns: for as Jonas was three days and three nights in the whale's belly, so shall the Son of man be THRER DAYS AND THREE NIGHTS in the heart of the earth. (Matt 12:38-40).
Now consider, please, the remendous impori-the overwhelming sig-nificance-of Jesus' statement!
He expressly declared that the ONLY SIGN He would give to prove He was the Messiah was that He ahoud be just THIREE DAYS AND THREE NIGHAS in the rock-hewn sepulchre in "the heart of the earth."

## the significance or THIS SIGN

These Christ-rejecting Pharisees demanded PROOF. Jesus offered but one evidence. That evidence was not the fact of the resurrection itselfit was the Lengte Of time He would repose in His gravo, betore beins resurrected.
Think what this means! Jesus stakod His Claim to being your Saviour and mine upon remaining exactly tifee days and wriree


NIGHTS in the tomb. IF He remained just three days and three nights inside the earth, He would PROVE Himself the Saviour-if he failed in this sign, He must be rejected as an imposter!
No wonder Satan has caused unbelievers to scoff at the story of Jonah and the "Whale!" No wonder the Devil has set up a tradition that DENIES Jesus as the Messiah!

## the dilemma of the higher critics

This ono and only supernatural PROOF ever given by Jesus for His Messiahship has greatly bothered the commentators and the higher critics. Their attempts to explain away this sole proof for Christ's divinity are ludicrous in the extreme. For explain them away they must, or their "Good-Friday-Easter" tradition collapses!

One commentator says of coursc we know that Jesus was actually in the tomb only half as long as He thought He would be! Some expositors impose upon our credulity to the extent of asking us to believe that "in the GREEK language, in which the New Testament was written, the expression 'three days and three nights' means three PERIODS, either of a day or a night!"

Jesus, they say, was placed in the tomb shortly before sunset FRIDAY, and rose at Sunrise Sunday morning -two nights and one day.

## The BIBLE Definition

But the BIBLE definition of the duration of "nights and day"." is simple.
Even these same higher critics admit that in the HEBREW language, in which the book of Jonal2 was written, the expression "three days and three nights" means a period of 72
nours-three twelve-hour days and three twelve-hour nights.
Notice Jonah 1:17: "And Jonah was in the beily of the fish THIREL DAYS AND THREE NIGTHS." 'Thin, they admit was a period of 72 hours. And Jesus distinctly said that AS Jonah was three day and three nighto in the great fish's belly, SO He would be the same length of time in His grave!
As Jonah was in the "GRAVE" (set mareinal reference, Jonah 2;2) 72, hours, after which he was supernaturally resurrected by God, by being vomited up, to become a saviour to the people of Nineveh upon proclaimuing the warning to them, so should Jesul: be 72 hours in His grave, thereupon being resurrected by God ${ }^{2} 0$ become the saviour of the world!

Did Jesus know how much time was in a "day" and in a "night"? Jesus answered, ire there not twelve hours in a day....but if a man walk in the NIGHT, he stumbleth." John 11:9-10).

Notice the BIBLE DEFINITION of the expression, "IHE THIRD DAY," Text ofter text tells us that Jesus rose THE THIRD DAY, See how the BIBLE defines the time required to Fulfin THE THIRD DAY.

In Genesis 1:4 God "divided the the LIGHT from the DARKNESS, and God called the LIGHTT Day, anil the DARKNLSS IIe called Night. And the evening (darliness) and the morning (light) were THE FIRST DAY.... and the evening (darkness) and the morning (light) were THE SECOND DAY, ....and the evening (now three periods of d:wness called NIGHTthree nights) and the morning (now three periods of light called DAYthree days) were TNX THIRD DAY." (Gen, 1:4-13).
Here we have the ONLY BIBLE DEFINITION which explains and COUN'TS UP the amount of time involved in the expression "ryme THIRD DAY." It includes three dark periods called NIGHT, and three light periods called DAY-three days three nights, and Jesus said they contained 'rWELVE HOURS for cach period-a total of 72 hours!
That ought to be conclusive! Any seven-year old, near the end of the second grade, could fizure it easily, (continued on Page a)
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## EDITORIAL

In place of the customary editorial, I want to introduce this month a per monal henrt-to-heart talk with you dear friends and brethron who are my rondera.

Recontly I was asked, "Do you pellavo thatong prayert In it nocesanry to mithy for a long time, when asking nomothing defintte, in order to got the answer?

Jat me tell you a personal experlence. 2t was at a timo whien my wife was elck and Ahenilu, hor llfo In gravo danger, TVu had annolntad and prayed for her-prayed ro-peutodly-but sho fiss not healad, 2 here was immeduto, wertous nood of $\$ 75:$ for a epectic purposo. Sovoral othor nuose woro Nat as sorious and urgent. Wo wer! at our wist ond. I deckiod the diftlen,iy wis When ma.

So I began to fe.st and prry, No to ags
 Wors deat and nooded to bo begred. Thls Hino I did not even continue to iste, once, for thase physlent and mnterial noeds. ftather, I sought now to lextes what was Wrone with Als-why my priyera had gona unanawered-and. to rectley tho troublo.

I had simply gotion out of that intinate CONTACT with God-driftod too far from timi thru lack of suffletent prityer, goo many worldy, matondal tirteresha had begun to fill my jnind. This happone to so ineva Who do not raillzelt! In thly citso it took fuss twenty-foul hours of fasting, altornatThe boween bible study, prayor and contem. phation, to gat tho world out and lut the Koly Splrit in, By that timo I knuw I wits ance more in that intimato, persomal commualon whth god. Tho controt iwas re-eatab.
 would answor. Whar $n$ blossod experlenco

It was then, and not untll then, that the pectelon for these definito physical and mix Grial needs was roneweu, and tha yrayer requosting theye things lasted $n$ mere minthe of two. A long prayor was not noctod now. Juat es briefly an a joung man would wh his earthly fathor whom ha knaive to bo both able and willing, we asked our heavenly Fathor to supply thoso clufinite immodinto neode, 2f Ho has PROMISED) to to (Phil, 4:18.) This time I KNEW tho antwor vould como. To KNOW GUD it to 'IæUSJ' illim!

Even as I aroso from my kndes, two of the noada arked ware bolng dellvared to tha hJusu. The naxt moming my witres choeks dere ross with circulation of rich rod blond. fid hor hoalling was complote, That very
morning's mall niought the $\$ 15$ from o most unoxposted soump! Ahd duwne tho dry tho othor ngoda woro purpllad.

Josus, oup eximmplo, wis accustomed to apond LONC JOUNS in prlvuto, socrot prayor. Fio prayed nall bisht to crod, Ito aroso long botory it was duy, and want out allane for a censon of intimnte supplication and $00 \mathrm{~mm} u n l o n$ wit! His Fithor, jut on tho ocention ot ratising Jazarus from tho dend, Ella piajor ronulrod $n$ more thirtysoyon words-and tho answere came!

Agaln, when Jesus had boon callod to cust out a domon, His disciples auked why thoy hud fallod to cart it out, "And Jobun and unto tham, Bocalsa of your UNBDLLHLi" Thls lind gosth not out but by praxier AND mascing." Ay a result of Hus rasting and praylils, Josuy was full of palth. His dlactplag' lack of fith wint tho natural reault of thate luole of autidotont prayor Jawna $15 N B H$ God-thoy dla not. ?'o JNOW Ood la to THUSTS HIm!

Now how do wo really KNO'W the Lord 9 When you read the Bible, God ta upoaking to you, Whon you prisy, wou are tillalats to KIIM. This is convorsation, and by continual convorsation you got to rually j2NOW those with whom you converso,

Fatidit is shanly depondence upon the vericity of nother. God has given uy many mationlisies in thly wond. Firat we ehould seareh the Scrlpturos to sea whether God Has PROMLSED what We rak. Then wo should pray and aulk Him for what Ho has promisibl to glvo. When my two ditte mons como to me and gay, "Daddy, you Promisidi" thoy lenaw i would neyon broak iny promlas to thom, und thoy have norfoct liACTII for tho answor.

Why, thon, do wo lack falth ao that our prayers go unannwored? I.ectume Wid Jo
 cannot really KNOW the living Almighty GOD, and then doubt that Fio will do ay Ho has promlsed, Ither we havo nover bosurise surficigntly nequilutiod with God, thru complote surrender, dishtmintilad dible study, and submiakivo oarnoht prayor, or wo havo noglected that nequalntunco!

Another ruaboll is thu tondancy of no unany to look upon prityer maroly as an emotional expertence, its only object to thom, appears to be to elevato one's tealings and amotions up into tha air. The idea of asklng for dofinto neods; and aerually ro. celying the answor, would nopor occur to thom, Jut tho vory TIIEST of the value of phayor is "DOLS I'C Whallsi'? DODS IM' GEM"

## HOW WE STEND YOU

THTS MAGAZINE MRIA
THID PLAIN TRUTFI is sont mradim-ivithout aubscription prico, It carrler no davortheling.

Somo ask how thls is posablo. This work is a wouk, of JintTE We trust God to limpross upon anough of EIn chaldren to volunialHy Gryes of thele tithon and ottorlngs that tha goapol may go out FREDE:
Wo believe this is GOD's WAY The principlo of Hfo exemplifiod in He law in th dintiople of "Orys" not "OEXX," It is love to OXIFERS, not love of aelr.
'ihls worle is made posatble, and carmad on, by that princlplo. When you sond in $\Omega$ contribution, whether larro or small, you ara GIVING it to the Lord's cause, It doos not pay for your subseription-mit is a sroe GIITI, making it possibla for us to GIVE the Goospel, frooly to thousands and thonsadida of othors. Aro YOU dolng YOUR full part, as a faltheu! atnward of God? Won't you PRAY oarnestly, that. avery neod of this wreat work nuay bo aupplierl?

Rasulun?" Tho Insson Gud wanta alt Ifte chaldion to loim in thale own utior Juifo. lessno:ut-their uttor dinhmeNJIENCE upon Ham! He wants us to colko our trialn and troublos and sorrows and tamptations und our overy need to FIIM. HEO Whllth un to MHUS'R HIM for what we nood. Dyon in the llutle things of overy-day lifo-each should bo tusen up with Him. It la by trumtinge Filin in the Hotle things that falth se axcrelsod and dovuloped.

Whian tho pillostas af Yarael had turnod to Joal, thuy criad out, prayed, afonlzed, shouted, platdad all day, potitioning thix folso god to wend down fira trom homyen Ihut thoy waro out of touoh with thin tertre Cood, sind all thate lopis agonlaing prayurn wore tutllo. Then Elijah, who beyond doubt had proviuusly apont onuny hourn in fervent surrandobed prityor rosulting in Intiniote convol with God, mayed calmily, contidontm ly, $\Omega$ britur foiy wordu, and tho Almighty anewures ivith flrol (I Kinge 18:21.38).)

Somethmes it roqulres miny hours of oarnosi, nubminsivo, supplication ircos a truly repuntant contrito hart to antaplame roal contuct and ucqualntanco with God. Prete becnuнo IIs is hurd to reach, but beowury 能 is ao diterlcult for $u_{y}$ to atrrondor, and met our wills binto hirmony will fils, it ia Haild to put our owllif, our pilde, our dealrea vomiplately undorm-somotimus twin taken tima, Jut, alleg tho contact whe rod is
 or fir a moelfis naqd is ridioulous, god fe not doaf! Wo n'o hot hoard for our "mion apealaing and valn ropotitlong." (Mats: ©: $5-8$ )
f'tul almonlshos, "Lat a nurn examine
 takes invontory at iergiar intoryaly. Yow cannat bo a sucoosstul Chrintun withous perbailc solf-exurninution! Perhaps ZOH , duar brothor or matar, need It, now! E'epe haps you need to to whoro you, can have QUIEIT 'SIME, alono with God, INxamina whother you uro honestly aurrendered Aus tho wily-willing to oboy God und keof at Hin Commandmenta ( 1 John 3is2). Ank Him to hols you with that seorct thiag in your

 it down, (I John 1:D),

Perhaps you noad an Intansiva soamon of NAMFING and prayur. parhaps you noed to gat sorno of tho worldy intarents out of your mind and hoart. Ara YOUR prayer always answered: Jerhaph you, too, neo to got to your knnes and STAY TELORE, ta raal perguvering proyor, untal you prout thru.

Hinvo the courage, purpose and datermber nation to GO AND DO I'F NOWI Don't put if off God in Joye, rull or compasaion and condar mordy. Ho in walting for you, Go and aook FH , NOW,

## NEXT MONTH

What is the algnificanco of the dislurbunce in l'alestine, with its fighting between the Arabs and tho Jown? Is thly pluying a purt in bringing on the WCiLiLiD WArry And if so, juat how's A atartilng. cyo-apening artiole in the Soptomthar number will Hhow how thla th fuldiling BIBLE PROPLDCLES,

When we coma out, aH God commands in Rov. 18:1, WHinRE MHAJLL WH TIND IDDJJOWAHIP? 'rhls Vory intoresting, onlighteming, and Allijulang article juad to bo chivitid over for the september number.
Tho atrange story of Israel con. tinuad next month and other intorcsiling, enilifhtoniag articion that wil! help you UNDERSTAND TOUR BIBLIS, Sont FRLES to your trionds, rolativos, nolghbors, proyhaing thoy will request it, gendins name and address.

Does EASTELE Heally Commemorate the RESURIRECTION of CHRIST"?

Continued from page :
We praise God that IHis plajn truths nra revenled UNTO BABES, and hidden from the wise and prudent!

## WHAT IS WRONG?

What is wrong with these plain, simple words of Jesus? How do the wise and prudent theologians KNOW Jesus was crucified "Good Frilay" and rose "Easter Sunday?"
The simple answer 1s, THEY DO NOT KNOW IT-for Tir IS NOT TRUE: It is merely TRADIITON-a tradition we have been taught from childhood, and carelessly ASSUMED! Jesus warned us against making "the Word of Goil of none effect through your TRADITLON, (Marls $7: 18$ ),
We have examined two scriptural witnesses, in Matthew and in Jonah, both setting the duration of the body of Jesus in the tomb as three days and three nights, which tho Scriptures plainly detine as 72 hours of time. Now let us examine four other scriptural witnesses that PROVE THE SAME THING.
Notice Mark 8:31. And he began to tench them that the Son of man must suffer many things, and be rojected of the elders, and of the chief priests, and scribes, and be killed, andA F'TER three days rise again."
Our young second grader can figure this. IF Jesus had been killed on Friday, and then AFTER one day" He had risen, the resurrection would have occurred on Saturday evening. IF AFTER !TWO DAYS, it would have occurred Sunday evening, and it AFTER THREE DAYS, it would have occurred MONDAY LEVENLNG:
Examine this text carcfully. You cannot, by any process of arithmetic, figure any less than a full 72 hoursthree days and three nights-in a resurrection which occurred three days AFTER the crucifixion! If Jesus was in the grave only from Friday sunset to Sunday sunrise, then this text, too, must be torn out of your Lible or else you must reject Jesus Christ as your Saviour! If He rose AFTER THREE DAYS, it might have been MORE than 72 hours, but it could have not be a second less!
Notice now Mark $9: 31$, "....they shall kill him; and AFIEI that he is killed he shall rise THE THIRD DAY." The duration expressed, here must be besween 48 and 72 hours. It could not be one second PAST 72 hours, and Jesus still rise THEE THIKD DAY. And it could not be Friday sunset to Sunday Sunrise, becruse that is only 3 dours, earrying us into the middle
of the socond any, AFTERR He was killed.

In Matthew 27:63 Jesus is quoted os saying "AFrSer THREE DAYS I will rise aguin." This cannot possibly be figuret as less than 72 full hours.

And in John 2:18-22, "Jesus answered and said unto them, Destroy this temple, and IN three days I will raise it up,...but He Spake of the temple of His body," To be raised up IN threc days after being destroyed, or crucified, could not possibly be in a day and a hali-it could not be less than 72 hours.

If we are to accept all the testimony of THE BIBLE, we must conclude that Jesus was exactly three days and three nights-three full 24 -hour days- $i 2$ hours in the grave or the only supernatural proof He gave must fail.

## The TIME OF DAY of Resurrection

Now notice carefully this fact: In orcler to bo three days and three nights-72 hours-in the tomb, our Lord hand to be resurrected at exactly dile same time of day that His body was buried in the tomb!
Let us realize that very vital fact.
If we can find the Thie of day of the burinl, then we have found the TIME OF DAY of the resurrection! IF the burial, for instance, was at sunrise, then in order to be left an even three days and thrce nights in the tomb the resurrection likewise had to occur at sunrise, three days later. IF the burial was at noon, the resurrection was at noon. If the burial was at sunset, the resurrection was at sunset, three days later.
Jesus died on the cross soon after "the ninth hour" or three o'clock in the afternoon, (Mat, 27:16-50; Mark 15:34-37; Luke 23:41-46).
The crucifixion day was called "the preparation," or day before "the sabbath." (Mat. 27:62; Mark 15:42; Luke 23:54; John 19:24). This day ended at sunsent, according to Bible reckoning (Lew 23;32).
Yet Jesus was buried before this same day ended-before sunset. (Mat. 27:57; Luke 23:52-54). John tulds "There laid they Jesus, therefore, loecause of the Jews' preparation day." According to the laws observed by the Jews all dead bodies must be buried before the beginning of a Sabbath or fenst day. Hence Jesus was buried BEFORE SUNSET on the same day Fite died. He died shortly after 3 P. M.
Therefore-notice ..earefully:-the BURIAL, OF CHRIST'S BODY WAS in the rate afternoon: It was between 3 P , M, and sunset as these Scriptures prove.

And since the RESURPTCTION had to occur at the SAMi: TIME OF DAY, three days later, THE RES UanECIION OF CHIIST OCCUIRRED, not at sunrise, but N tyIs LATE AFTERNOON, near sunset! Startling as this fact may be, it is the PLAIN BIBIN TRUTH!

If Jesus rose at any other time of day, He could not have been three days and three nights in His grave. If he rose at any other time of dny, He failed to prove, by the only sign He gave that He was the true Messiah, the Son of the living Creator! Fither He rose near the END of a dsy near sunset, or else He is not the Christ!!! He staked His claim on that one und only sign!
So a time-honored tradition raust be shattered! Let us praise Goid tor His TRUTH which has been preseived thru the dark ages, so that the irue light may now shine forth, if our heurts and minds are still willing to reccive it! Praise His namel Do you LOVE tho TRUTH as it is re venled, or despise it and love the traditions you have henrt? "Whosoever despiseth the Word shall 'x destroyed!" Let us say with David, "リ' :w precious also are THY thourhts unto me, O God!"

## WHAT DAY WAS

THE RESURRMCTION
Now which DAY OF THE WEEK was the resurrection day?

The first investigators, Mary Masdalene and her companions, came to the sepulchre on the first day of the week (Sunday) very early, while it was yet dark, as the sun was beginning to rise, at clawn. (Mark 10:2; Luke 21:1; John 20:1).

Now here are the texts most people have SUPPOSED stated the resurrection was at sunrise Sunday morning. But they do not say that!

When the women arrievd, the tomb was already OPEN! At that time Sunday morning-while it was yet dark-JESUS WAS NOT TWTRRE: Notice how the angel says "His is NOT HERE, BU'T IS RISEN!" See Mark 16:6; Luke 24:3; John 20:2; Mat. 28:5-6.

Jesus was ALREADY RISEN at sunrise Sunday morning! Of course He was He rose from the grave IN THE LATE AFTERNOON, near SUNSET!

And since we know the resurrection was just shortly prior to Sunrise Sunday morning, and that it occurred in the late afternoon of the day, we now may know TIE RITSURREC. TION OR CHRIST OCCWMRHD

LATE SATURDAY AFTERNOONnear the end of the sabBATH!
The Sabbath day ended at sunset. It was late on that day, before the beginning of the first dny of the week. It whis not, then a Sunday resursection at all-it was a Sabbath resurrection!

## did CHRIST FULFIL HIS SIGN?

Now all this is based on the supposition that Jesus did fulfil Fis only SIGN of being three days and three nights in the grave. All our evidence of this is based on the claims of Jesus BEFORE His crucifixion. But some of the higher critics and doctors of divinity tell us that Jesus made a mistake-that He was only in the tomb HALF AS LONG as He ex. pected to be. Let us have PROOF as to whether He did spend the exact amount of time in the grave He said He would.
Notice that in Mat. 28:6, THIE ANGEL OF THE LORD gives this testinony, which we now present as EVDENCE! "He is not here: for he is risen, AS HE SAID HE WOULD." And He certainly did not rise AS HE SAID unless He rose at the precise TIME that He had said! So we have the proof of the ANGEL Or' THE LORD, recorded in the sacred iVORD OF GOD that Jesus did fulril His sign-He was three da;is and three nights in the arth-He did rise Sabbath afternoon, and not Sunday morning!
Now notice carefully one more text. Mntthew 28:1-6. "IN the end of the Sabbath, as it began to dawn TOWASD the first day of the week, came Mary Magdalene and the other Mary to see the sepulchre." And at Wut time the angel toid them "He is not here: for he is risen as He said., If we accept this as a correct trunslation it places the time of resurrection directly IN THE END OF THE SABBATH, or LATE ON THE SABBATH as it is worded in the American Revised translation. In the end of anything is still IN it. Some modern translations render this text as "AFTER the Snibbath"- or at dawn the first dily of the week. However, even if we accept that rendering, this text becomes a fourth witness to prove that at sunrise Sunday morning He was NOT THIERE-HE HAD RISEN prior to that time. But if you accept this translation, as it is in your Bible, you havo a direct ntatement that Jesus's resurrection was before the ending of the Subhath.

## Which Day Was The Crucifixion?

It is not difficult now to determine the day on wirch Jesus was crucified, Comating buck three days from the Sabbath, when he rose, we come to Wednestay, the fourth, or very middle, day of the week.

Jesus was crucified on WEDNESDAY, the middle day of the week, He died on the cross shortly after 3:P.M. that afternoon, was buried before sunset Wednesilay evening Now COUNT the THREE DAYS and Three nights, It also was there CHREE NIGHTS. His body was Wednesday, Thursday and Friday NITEES in the grave-THREE NTGHTS. It also was there thru the daylight parts of Thursday, Friday and Sntur-day-THREE DAYS. He rose Sat-urday-the Sabbath-late afternow, shortly before sunset, at the same TIME OF DAY that Ho was buried! And Sunday morning at sunrise He was NOT THERE-HE WAS ALREADY RISEN!
It is significant that in Daniel's prophecy of the "Seventy weeks", Jesus was to be cut off "in the midst' of the week." While this prophecy has the application of a day for a year, so that this 70th week became a literal seven years, Christ being "cut off" af'ter three and $\pi$ half years' ministry, ais He was, yet it ls significant the He was also "cut off" by crucifixion ON THE VERY MIDDLE DAY OF THE LITERAL WEEK!

## what Sabbath Followed the Crucifixion?

Now we come to an objection some may raise, yet the very point which PROVES this thruth! Perhaps you have noticed that the Scriptures say the day ATTER the crucifixion was a SABBATH: Hence, for centuries, people have bllndly asumed the crucifixion was on Friday!
New we have shown by all four Gospels that the crucifixion day-Wednesday-was called "the preparation." The preparation day for THE SABEATH, But for WHAT Sabbath?
John's Gospel gives the definite answer: "It was the preparation OF the PASSOVER."
"For that sabbath day was an HIGH DAY," John 19:14; 31).

Just what In a Jowlsh "HIGH DAY ?" Ask any Jow! He will toll you it is one of tho annual holldays, or least days. The Jarmeltien observed saven or those overy yoarovery ono called sambaiTHII Annuxbl Hialo ptros, falling on a cortaln annual calendar date, and on differont days of tho weok in differonts years, just lllco the fioman hol1days now obscrevd. Ihoso Sabbath might fall on Monday, on Thursday, of on Junday.

If you will nolice the following texte, You wlll seg theso sunual hollditys wolo all callod Sabbuth ditys: Lov. $43: 24$ : Lev 16:31,

LeV, 28:99; Lev R3:15; Lev, 28:28-32.
Notico Matthew $20: 2 ;$ "YN know thit attor tiyo day la the limover, and the son of man is botrayed to be crucified." And if you mill follow thru this chaptor you will wee thut Jestis whis crucified ON THIA J.ASsiovidet

And what was THI: PABSOYS: fl? Ie was tha andent day of Imben compabamoratins their dollvorence from Disypt, and ploturing to them tha crucletion of Christ find wete dohyerence from sin. In the twalth uhaptor of dixodus you will find the story of the ortginal passover, The chlldren of larael killed lambs, and struck the blood ovor the door-posts and on the elle-posts of thefr houses, and wherever the bload had then been applied tho death-angel pafsinith OVEar that house, sparing it from neath. Jibllowing the Passover wore SEVEN DAXe of UNLISAVENTAD BRRAD. The firnt of these, the duy after the Passover was a holy convocallon or unnual Sabbath, and the lant of these days was anothor annum Babbath, or faat day,

Observe the dates: "And in the fourtomenth day of the first month is Thfe passover or the Iord, And in the rifiecenth diay of thit month it ine midstri, (Num 28:16-1T). Tho pabsover dumh, klllod every year on the 14 th of the first month cullod "Atslb," was a typo of Christ, the Lamb of Con that taketh away the sin of the world. Chirist is oult pasisovior, sueditced for us (I Cor. 5:7).
JERGUS WAS STAAIN ON THE VBMYT NAMIG DAY THE PdSSOVILR ILAD MB: : glain inverik XDMll! Ino wan crucifua wis the if Alilb tho cirst Ficbio ir month at the yoar! And thla diay, the linssoramb Wras the day betoro, and the prepamaton for THEE PDBAS'C duy, or annual highamy Sillimath, whith occurred on tho 162 h ablb. w: $1:$ s sabbuth mathe occur on ANT day of 1!:- ;weok. Trequopitly it ocoura, oven toina,
 1,AK.
And tho Hebrew chionder thow that in thu yixir Jubuas waik cruatriad, tha it molp. Prssover day, tho day Jesus was erucldef, Wity Wer NiEsDAY. And the annual Babm bath wis THUURSIDAY. Thle was the Subbuth thict drow on as Josoph of Arimachaa hastored in bury the body of feaus late that Fidnesday afternoon.

## HONEST OBJECRY" ${ }^{\text {PS }}$ EXATTNED

Aomoone is sure to notico Mark 16:y, thinking this toxt says the rosurraction wad upon Sunday, biat it yoll road the whale sorntence, it torg nor way that at all. Tho
 tense. What wuil juhue oondition early the tipat day of the wook? juocs it nay ha "wan noting" or that Ho "did plan" froin the grave? No, dary tha flust day or tho woux. at the tine whan tos appeared to Mary Magidalone, Ho WAS lagene pe courne way Ifo had risen the lato aftermon beror 4 ,
 infr. 2 Inis text duas not in any way retute the scores of other texts we have given.
Another pRasing that might confuse, is Luko 24:21; "....tand bembun all thin toil:y ts the third dny SiNCD IILIPSD THINCA WHERE DONJS," "r'hese thinga" included all the events pertalining to the resurrection. -the seizing of Jesus, dollyering HIm to ba trbed, the actual ortci"ixion, and, etinally the setting of the soal and tho watch opaf the tornb the following day, or Thursday, Study varben $18-20 ;$ telling of "these thingw" and alao MaL. 27:62-i6. These thtresem ware not onmpleted until tho watch wus set. Thursday. And the text mays sundey was the third day sincer thiase Tbine wore tone These thingn warn not dane untll Thursday, and Ssunday truly was the third day since Yhuradin, l3ut it was not the third day since JiIRIDAY, so thls text could not prove a Filday crucifixion.

THE TYYAL PROOF
There is yot one tinal ctinching PROOF of thit anazing truth.
(Continuad on Page 8)

# GOD'S UNCONDITIOKAR COVENANT 

## WITA ABRAHAM

BEFORE the days of Moses there was ro nation known as God's partlcular nation. Prion to Moses thero was no written Word of God-no inuptred Soripturef-no Blble! : !

God had communicatod, prevlously, thru oentala favored patrlarchs who headed yast famillos. But after the Mood, $n_{B}$ the repopulation of tho earth multiplied rapldy into nationn, god geeded a broader agency thru whlch to communtcate and denl with mankind.

Once again man had turnod, as ho had Aonn botore the tload, oloy to hio OWN Way and dovices. Once more tho human raco bogan to walk contrary to God's way. a Ho had commanded In His Law Once arain ain ran rumpant, and man began to lexo all personat knowledgo of Cod. The nuclons turned to the worshitp of ldols,
so Chod seleoted one man, Abram, who was upritiht and willing, and callod rum out of his deolatrous onvironment-awny trom the kinded and poople-into another land. - And thero God appearad to him and mindo with him an unconditional, unbrealcablo COVENANT, Thls covemint was tho found. allon of the nation darnol, it ls the basls of the NEXV Covenant, thru which we may faherit oternal llte. In a sense, it was tho plyot upon which Gou's wholo Plan of redamption avings. It mado Abraham the flewhly HATEIENA of all of Cod's pooplo.

## THE PURPOSE OF ISRAEL

Iarael, God's nation, began with ONE MaN. And of this particular untion, God mald: "Whis paople have I cort ced tor my* entr; thoy shisll show tol th my jnitso." (Isa, 43:21. Also ho sak to then, "Ye are my WITNEE:Sins malth the Lord that I am nod." (tast, 4::12) Absuin, "I will ganotlity asy great namo...and tho bothen shanl know that I :un the Lomd, suith the Lord Quit, when 1 shall ho sanotifled IN YOU before thulr ayas." (Jizok, s6:2\$),
do, astde from solooting a spealally-pra. pared and mancolfled ling thru which Jonus war to be born, God's purpose in eatablishing this literal national race was to have on earth A peoplo who should show sprth Fis pratse, thru whom the heathon sould bo led to cod. Isruel win formed to become a national euptodinn for a revolation of God's will to man-a nation thru whoso prophots Ho could communicato-a national agancy for tho PRREBIEYAMION of ILs written Word. and thru whom it should be carrlad to the World. A national ngoncy ior the propasio tinn of the trile knowledge and worshid of tho trua Cod.
Cod always beglng things, thru human sercien, in a amall way and causes them to grow great So this nation was atarted Tleli ONE surrenitering man.
In the 1:th ohaptor of Gensis, beginning the flrat varse, we rend: "Now the Lord had sald unto Abram, Get thee out of thy eountry, and from thy kindred, fand from thy encher's houso, unto a land thate I whll Whow thoo: And I wili make thon a gresut wallont, and I will hlonas thon, and maken thy



 (1.70n. 12:1-y).

## THE TYO-FOLD RROMISES

Notlce particularly that the promises to Abraham are TWO-FOLD. First, Chod gave him a floshly, racial promise. His flosh-and. blood descendants woin to grow into a GREAT NATION. This promise whs of rico, multhplletty of sued, second, Gad gave him the apicitual promise upon which rest our hope of alvation. In him, thru Fils DinsCENDANT, CFIFIST Wore all nations ne earth including Gentdes, to bo blossed.

Fiow have ever been it, but God has al. wrys ooncornod Himsolf, in doaling with His earthly chlldren, with two phases, fleahly and spirtual, Too ofton litoral matertal thangs in the Bible are splitunilzed, and ofton a floshly substitute is accoptod for the true spinitual, It Is Imporiant, vitally im* portant, that we understand and properly distingulah, Since we aro now INeEsA, but may, through the Gospol, becomo SPIIII' (I Cor. 15:12-53). God is maturally conoorned, both with the tlestily and with tito apleitual, This knowledere is a Vi'CAT Jitiv to an undorstanding or God's Word:

And so let us at the outast distingulsh clearly the TWYO-NOLD asject of God's promises to Abrahnm, Chod Bnid, "I will make of thee a breat nation,' None will deny that tho nation of ISRARI, whioh formorly occupled tho country we now call Palestlne,-a floshly ridce-was in fulfillmant of thwt promise, chod also mald, "and in theo shall all famillos of the earth be bleasch," None shall dony, after roalsing Galatians yix, that this in a SPIFilt. UAL proming, releting to the GOHPliz, lior we read: "And cha berlpture, forseeling that God would justlity the heathen thru faih, preached boforo tha roapel unto Abralinim, saying, In thee shali all nutions bo blessex.,"

## THE CHRISTIAN'S INHERITANCE

## And now notice the 7th verse of Consta

 12: "And the Lord appearat unto Abrahum and sald, Unto thy seed I plyo THES LAND."I'hat is the luhertance God promisen Abraham, And, if Yis be Christ's, then aro Ya: Araham:s chlldren and Herres necording to that PIROM.SE--helrg of ITHAT LaND, Abraham's Ractal, dozeondanta dal occupy that land untll they sinned so prdevfously the Tard was forcod to drlyu them out. And Abraham's descondants, when finally born arain by rosurroction or tranalatlon, shall Inlertt that same land forever!

Juaten God repeatod this prombe of inheirtance of tho land, informing Aloram how long ho and his children should possess Jt . It is in Conesis 13:14-10. 'And the Lord sald unto Abram, aftor that Lot wiss geparatad from him, cift up thino eyes, and look from the pinee wher theu art northward, and southward, and oastward, and westward: for all the land which thou seest, thee will I elval It, and to thy qeod forever. And I whll make thy sood as the dust of the eanth: bo that if a man can numbier tho dust of the ourth, then shall thy sesed filso be numberod."
And hero, notice $n$ furthor promise of RACD: Abraham's doscendanta are to be as numorous ats the dust, which cannat bu numbonxid. Thla rolers, not to the ONL soed, Chnist, to whom atl apiritumi uromises pore
 llacm, inulliplity of suod,

Agnin the land of promise is further
doserlbed: "In the samo day the Lord made a covenuint with Abrcun, saying, Unio thy deed nato 1 givon this lindi, from tho sifip of Berple unus tho srolt river, the river Jinphraks," (Oen. 16:18). Jivontuafiy as othat promises and prophocles explain. tho land oit tho promiso shill expand to Ineflute the whold earth, 2HLS ts the dind of wio salnt's eternal home and Inhoritance of promise made ONfix to the CFLITDIRE: of

Absin, when Abram was ninoty-nial yeaps of aga, the Lord appearid ambin alla again "I am tho Almighty god; walk betopw wale and be thou perfoct, And I will make mily covonant botween mo and thoo, and will mule thly theo excoeathity." (aten. 1711.2). Notle that now crod aciecis to begtow upon Abra. him these blessinges and promisen $O \mathrm{~N}_{\text {mo }}$ CoN I) ITXUN! Fu пауя, "Walle hufore me and be thou perrect." aral then IF゙ abrue lmm flrst does that, Godith worrorm the blesstings.

And so the promises of crod, Which reo promisos to will and begueath, can lug wo-
 hud a part of thils afroumont to perform. If, and whon, Abrahiam parrormod dila part then dod stoos dound to perforin juls part Thus this buenmo an agneemom, - H cery oriant, A covenitat, ru any detlonary derinem.

 tract. It involves conditlong. It Involven ewo partlon or more. But IF tho one who netwes to perform the task, or keop thio condilions tmpaned by tho ather, does euliy parforta hite part, then tho other party to the euzeremeant fiands BOUND in bentaw the rawird. lif dia *o in all contriotis, tifreoments, or naverants.
And Juat as Abraham was told ho must portorrn the conditions IMIRST, buforn oonting into the inheritance so it in with uis, The Nuw Covenant, bised upon the mirikual plyene of these gromisos to Abraham will be made anly with a trled and tentive peaple -with the oviercompart of slu-with thoso who not only gtartad the Chistian Hie, but who have endures unto the und! And so, te wo are ainongthose talthful, we ure the children of Abraham, (Gal 3:?).

Continued noxt month

## IS THE BITYYE A TEXT-BOOK ON SCIENCE?

Often we hear the apology emanate from one stoeped in the teachings of "modern science" that "Of course the Bible is not a text-book on Science."
The inference is that the Bible con. tains error, written by men ignorant of what is now known in "modern seience."
It is true that there are enntradic. tions between the plain statements of the Bible and the teachings of modern science. Therefore those under influence of this "modern scien!n" are led to belieye the Bible is not true.
The facts are these: The Bible is NOT a text-book on science. Suience deals only with the MATERIAL.
with organic and inorganic matter. The Bible is a revelation from Gool, realing primarily with the SEIRII. UAL, a realm modern "scientists" have never plummed, and only secondarily with the material. But "All Seripture is given by INSPIRATION (OF COD," (II 'Tim. $3: 16$ ). It is a revplation from God of the things man does not otherwise know, and could not find out! God is the CREATOR.
The Creator of matter, of force and energy-the Creator of all the laws of Science. The tools of modern science are said to be observation and reason. And insofar as men of science confine their efforts to observations and measurements, they are as careful and accurate as could be expected of erring mankind. But when they launch into the real realm of REASON-trying to explain what they have observed and measured, then they have proved extravagant and absurd!

No, the BIBLE is not a modern science text-book. But if the atheists and infidels of modern science, (so called, ) would employ the TRUTH revealed from God in the Bible as their lasic conception explaining what they observe and measure, then, indeed, we should have TRUE SCIENCE! There is not one contradiction between the Bible and PROVED FACIS of science -not one! Eut there are contradictions galore between Bible statements and the THEORIES, hypotheses and guesser being handed out in the guise of true scienca, Bible truth is ETERNAL, but truly the pathway of modern science is literally strewn with the wreckage of cherishied hypotheses!

## AN EXAMPLE OF "SCIENTIFIC REASONING

Science employs observation and resson. Many of the "scientific facts" so commonly accepted are marely theories reasoned from facts observ. ed.

Here is an amusing example.
There is a well-known law that heat expands, and cold contracts. This is FACT, which hats been seen, measur. ed, and proved. Let us "reason" from this fact, as an example of arriving at a "scientific conclusion." We reason, then, that as soon as the surface of a lake of water freezes, the ice, being contracted under influence of cold, of necessity becomes heavier. So it would sink to the bottorn a layer at a time. This is a perfoctly logical condelsion, based on that particular law. A "scientist" living in the hel intrid zone who had never seen ice, but had made tests that proved the law that cold contracts and heat expands, might work out this conclusion, and
call it a FACT of science!
What is wrong with this process? It assumes that a law once in operation must continue. That is exactly what "Science" assumes in regard to Creation, and this assumption has produced the theory of RVOIJUTION! But we happen to know that just before water reaches the freezing point, the LAW SUDDENLY REVERSES. It ceases to contract, and commences to expand. Hence ice is lighter than water, and does not sink, but floats. This sudden CHANGE in a natural law in operation proves our earth is not controlled by BLIND law-but by a wise and intelligent LAWGIVIER!

## LOCUST HORDE DESTROYS CROPS

Early in 1985, we told our readers the vast army of worms, grasshoppers, bugs and insects prophesied in the first two chapters of Joel would begin swooping down on crops during that summer and would continue a campaign of destruction for years. ....

It has happened as prophesied, The invasion of grasshoppers, which are the locusts of lible, is continuing again this year, as we predicted in the January number of The PLAIN TRUTH.

An Associated Press dispatch clated July 17, printed in newspapers over tho country', anys: "Yomeloun grnashoppers which descended on grain fields 'like rain' are swiftly ruining the best potential crops."

LA dispatch from China says two hundred persons are dying daily of s.irvation from the drought continuing in large sections of China.
The great world-wide drought, combined with the invasions of insects, is one of God's last-minute WARNINGS to His people that "IHE DAY OF" THE LORD" is at hand. Soon this dread day with its supernatural, unbearable plagues, sent from God, will fall suddenly and unexpectedly upon a heedless and inditferent world, The final world war, climaxing at ARMAGEDDON, and the Second Coming of Christ will all take place during this same time of the DAY OF 'PHL LORD.

We are today IN BABYLON. Cod's warning to us is "COMEE OUT OF" HER, MY PEOPLE, that ye be not of her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues." (Rev. 18:4). Jesus warned His people, "WATCH ye, therelore, and PRAY ALWAYS, that ye may be accounted worthy to esscape all these things that shall come to pass, and to stand before the Son of man." (Luke 21:36).

## Prophinsien mbents

(Chthavaliam zage G)
PIRE is shown to be strong as iron, its final last-hour revival in our day is pictured as part of iron and part of clay-"so the kingdom shall be partly strong and partly broken." (Dan. 2:42). Ancient TOME was one Fm-pirc-one nation-one people Mussolini's revival will be an Empire moulded out of ten nations, ten peoples, some strong, some weak, not cleaving together, tho at first they do give their power and strength to him. What a wonderful picture of the true nature and brittle lack of strength and permancy of Mussolini's EMPIRE!

When he finally brings it into reality, the people of the world will gasp in wonder, awe, and amazement. (Rev. 17:8). But it is not being soundly consiructed, nor built of enduring materals. A mixture of clay and iron! It is scheduled for a very short life pictured as "one hour" in Rev. 17:12.
But, praise Almighty God! "in the days of these kings shall the GOD OF HEAVEN SET UP A KINGDOM, which shall never be destroyed!....but it shall break up in pieces and consume all these kingdoms, and IT s'cand rombviar! (Dan 2:34).
lot us pray, "गery king.jom shatr. сомri!"

## Does EAstilits Really Commemoratomen

 (Conlfined from Page 6 )Accordtre: to Mark 16:1, Mary Magdalana and har cumpinlors did not buy thelr aplees to annolnt the body of Jenus unht AEVILR THE SABBATH WAS PAST. Thoy could not propare them untll ADTERR thin yot ufter proparing the aptoon Thay rensreED THEA SAHBATH DAY ACCORDING TO T!LE OOMMMANUMDNNT! (Lulee 23:58),

Stucly riese two texts carofully.
Ther is only one possible oxplanation: After the annual hiflnday Sabbath, tho font du:; of the days of unkeavened bread-which was Thursaiy- thesg woman purchast:d aud propared their splces on FRIDAY, and then they pested of the weokly Sabbath, saturday, aecording to the Commandment (Hxodus 20:8-11)!

A cormpation or these two texts Provis there wore IWO Sabbaths that woek, with A. DAY IN BWYWHEN. Otherwdso, these texts contwlatet themnelves.
Tho IPLALN TFU'ITE concerning the crueltixion and the resurcuotion of Chatist do diust swewping the world, Thousind aro coming to sse 1 . Thls truth has been publlyhed in the Sunday school Times. ? Ithe Oxiord Untvertity Here:t, in thele "Collspanion lible,' publiyh a table proving thita ne. $\cdot \boldsymbol{n}$-r.erraled truth of the Bible.

Wo pralso God that, through the truthn op .ats wivd becalate trampled upon and LOST thru the dark afes or superstition, apostacs, und counterfelt dootrines, that tho GRICINAL Tllutys has boon carofully prom
 sTUDEY to show ourselves approvell uraco God, and seek out and fiNI) thesu long-


What a wondertin! study it isi Hrow prode lour is fits WORD! All the 'rruth has not Y Lill bear braught to light. Lot un prese on. and seek and flad morn and more! Tet un leed upon the pure WORIS OW GOD: Let us not rejeet tha true ligint, or God will ecertainly rejoct us! dett $u_{s}$ rejoico in it, whith pritar and thankeglving!

