AND NOW CHRIST SETS CHURCH BACK ON TRUTH DOCTRINALLY!

By Herbert W. Armstrong

UNTIL ABOUT 1969 we in God's Church did all "speak the same thing." But in 1978 many of the ministers were speaking different things! God had withdrawn from us His blessing and power that for 35 years caused the Church to grow and be great. Now He is causing the Church to grow at the approximate rate of 3 percent every year over the previous year.

WHAT CAUSED GOD TO WITHDRAW HIS BLESSING AND POWER?

Precisely the same thing that caused the growth of the Church of God at Corinth from A.D. 56, 25 years after the Church was founded at Jerusalem. They were following different leaders, teaching different things, watering down truths of Christ!

Christ's apostle wrote to the Corinthian Church, in A.D. 56,

"... HOW may we obey Christ by all speaking the same thing, when especially a small portion of the ministers have not all believed the same thing?"

"Now I beseech you, brethren, by the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye all speak the same thing, and that there be no divisions among you; but that ye be perfectly joined together in the same mind and in the same judgment" (1 Corinthians 1:10).

They were starting to follow different leaders teaching different doctrines. The Church was becoming divided! And a church divided against itself cannot stand!

Once such division starts among ministers it is a difficult matter to correct. For most who have gone doctrinally afield will today—just as in Corinth in A.D. 56—not be willing to change back from error and watered-down doctrines to God's truth!

This same deflection was occurring in the church at Rome. And God said through the apostle Paul, "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine by which ye have learned and believed. For they by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple" (Romans 16:17-18). Jesus Christ showed me that, much as it hurt, God commands we all "speak the same thing." But what is CHRIST'S means of giving us that "SAME THING"?

"God says we in His Church must all believe and speak the same thing. And God wills us to agree on what is truth and right, and good as opposed to what is evil and sin."

"Now I beseech you, brethren, by the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye all speak the same thing, and that there be no divisions among you; but that ye be perfectly joined together in the same mind and in the same judgment" (1 Corinthians 1:10).

They were starting to follow different leaders teaching different doctrines. The Church was becoming divided! And a church divided against itself cannot stand!

Once such division starts among ministers it is a difficult matter to correct. For most who have gone doctrinally afield will today—just as in Corinth in A.D. 56—not be willing to change back from error and watered-down doctrines to God's truth!

This same deflection was occurring in the church at Rome. And God said through the apostle Paul, "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine by which ye have learned and believed. For they by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple" (Romans 16:17-18). Jesus Christ showed me that, much as it hurt, God commands we all "speak the same thing." But what is CHRIST'S means of giving us that "SAME THING"?

"God says we in His Church must all believe and speak the same thing. And God wills us to agree on what is truth and right, and good as opposed to what is evil and sin."

"Now I beseech you, brethren, by the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye all speak the same thing, and that there be no divisions among you; but that ye be perfectly joined together in the same mind and in the same judgment" (1 Corinthians 1:10).

They were starting to follow different leaders teaching different doctrines. The Church was becoming divided! And a church divided against itself cannot stand!

Once such division starts among ministers it is a difficult matter to correct. For most who have gone doctrinally afield will today—just as in Corinth in A.D. 56—not be willing to change back from error and watered-down doctrines to God's truth!

This same deflection was occurring in the church at Rome. And God said through the apostle Paul, "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine by which ye have learned and believed. For they by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple" (Romans 16:17-18). Jesus Christ showed me that, much as it hurt, God commands we all "speak the same thing." But what is CHRIST'S means of giving us that "SAME THING"?

"God says we in His Church must all believe and speak the same thing. And God wills us to agree on what is truth and right, and good as opposed to what is evil and sin."

"Now I beseech you, brethren, by the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye all speak the same thing, and that there be no divisions among you; but that ye be perfectly joined together in the same mind and in the same judgment" (1 Corinthians 1:10).

They were starting to follow different leaders teaching different doctrines. The Church was becoming divided! And a church divided against itself cannot stand!

Once such division starts among ministers it is a difficult matter to correct. For most who have gone doctrinally afield will today—just as in Corinth in A.D. 56—not be willing to change back from error and watered-down doctrines to God's truth!

This same deflection was occurring in the church at Rome. And God said through the apostle Paul, "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine by which ye have learned and believed. For they by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple" (Romans 16:17-18). Jesus Christ showed me that, much as it hurt, God commands we all "speak the same thing." But what is CHRIST'S means of giving us that "SAME THING"?

"God says we in His Church must all believe and speak the same thing. And God wills us to agree on what is truth and right, and good as opposed to what is evil and sin."

"Now I beseech you, brethren, by the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye all speak the same thing, and that there be no divisions among you; but that ye be perfectly joined together in the same mind and in the same judgment" (1 Corinthians 1:10).

They were starting to follow different leaders teaching different doctrines. The Church was becoming divided! And a church divided against itself cannot stand!

Once such division starts among ministers it is a difficult matter to correct. For most who have gone doctrinally afield will today—just as in Corinth in A.D. 56—not be willing to change back from error and watered-down doctrines to God's truth!

This same deflection was occurring in the church at Rome. And God said through the apostle Paul, "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine by which ye have learned and believed. For they by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple" (Romans 16:17-18). Jesus Christ showed me that, much as it hurt, God commands we all "speak the same thing." But what is CHRIST'S means of giving us that "SAME THING"?

"God says we in His Church must all believe and speak the same thing. And God wills us to agree on what is truth and right, and good as opposed to what is evil and sin."

"Now I beseech you, brethren, by the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye all speak the same thing, and that there be no divisions among you; but that ye be perfectly joined together in the same mind and in the same judgment" (1 Corinthians 1:10).

They were starting to follow different leaders teaching different doctrines. The Church was becoming divided! And a church divided against itself cannot stand!

Once such division starts among ministers it is a difficult matter to correct. For most who have gone doctrinally afield will today—just as in Corinth in A.D. 56—not be willing to change back from error and watered-down doctrines to God's truth!

This same deflection was occurring in the church at Rome. And God said through the apostle Paul, "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offenses contrary to the doctrine by which ye have learned and believed. For they by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple" (Romans 16:17-18). Jesus Christ showed me that, much as it hurt, God commands we all "speak the same thing." But what is CHRIST'S means of giving us that "SAME THING"?

"God says we in His Church must all believe and speak the same thing. And God wills us to agree on what is truth and right, and good as opposed to what is evil and sin."
"God is TRYING us as never before. He is drawing those of us who both love and fear Him closer to Him and to each other! He is permitting trials and tests, such as we never experienced before, upon us, so that we will RELY ON HIM in unshaken faith!"

-Acts 8: Peter with John went to Samaria. Verses 18-23: It was Peter who rebuked the first pope, Simon the Sorcerer.

"They accused Moses of appointing himself — though there had been ample evidence by the fruits of Moses' leadership — and even miracles God did through him, that they were without excuse, just as many are today."

-Acts 6: Simon Peter answered and said, Then art thou the Christ, the son of the living God. And Jesus answered and said unto him, Blessed art thou Simon Barjona: for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven. Mathew 16:16-17: Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the son of the living God. And Jesus answered and said unto him, Blessed art thou Simon Barjona: for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven that revealed it unto thee (Matthew 6:6). But they shall! God has always worked through one man, primarily, at a time. God chose David and worked through him. Two of David's sons, at different times, conspired to wrest David's throne from him. At this point I noticed beside my typewriter a letter I had not seen before from Queensland, Australia. I paused to read it. 'I quote,' it said, 'It is a great privilege to be your brother in the congregation of the Eternal!' (Numbers 16:1-3)."

"And the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed them up; and the rest died not, all that the ark came to, went down alive into the pit, and the earth closed upon them: and they perished and ought to know better are going to have to realize that He is the SAME GOD TODAY! We are in the trying and sifting time, right now, for God's Church. Notice it, in Daniel 12:9-10: At the time of the end. Many shall be purified, and made white, and tried; and the wicked shall be wicked; but the wise shall understand (Daniel 12:9-10)."

"And Jesus, walking by the sea of Galilee, saw two brethren, Simon called Peter, and Andrew his brother, casting a net into the sea. And he said unto them, Come ye after me, and I will make you fishers of men. Matthew 4:18-19, This is the first mention of calling His disciples, that is significant that Peter is named first.

Matthew 10:2: "Now the names of the twelve apostles were: Simon, which is called Peter; and Andrew his brother; James the son of Zebedee; and John, his brother; Judas Iscariot, who also betrayed him; and Matthias; and Thomas; and James the son of Alphaeus; and Lebbaeus, whose surname was Thaddeus; Simon called Zelotes; Judas; and Simon Iscariot, which also called Judas." Notice Peter is called "first." Matthew 16:16-17: Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the son of the living God. And Jesus answered and said unto him, Blessed art thou Simon Barjona: for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven that revealed it unto thee (Matthew 6:6). But they shall! God has always worked through one man, primarily, at a time. God chose David and worked through him. Two of David's sons, at different times, conspired to wrest David's throne from him. At this point I noticed beside my typewriter a letter I had not seen before from Queensland, Australia. I paused to read it. 'I quote,' it said, 'It is a great privilege to be your brother in the congregation of the Eternal!' (Numbers 16:1-3)."

"And the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed them up; and the rest died not, all that the ark came to, went down alive into the pit, and the earth closed upon them: and they perished and ought to know better are going to have to realize that He is the SAME GOD TODAY! We are in the trying and sifting time, right now, for God's Church. Notice it, in Daniel 12:9-10: At the time of the end. Many shall be purified, and made white, and tried; and the wicked shall be wicked; but the wise shall understand (Daniel 12:9-10)."

"And Jesus, walking by the sea of Galilee, saw two brethren, Simon called Peter, and Andrew his brother, casting a net into the sea. And he said unto them, Come ye after me, and I will make you fishers of men. Matthew 4:18-19, This is the first mention of calling His disciples, that is significant that Peter is named first.

Matthew 10:2: "Now the names of the twelve apostles were: Simon, which is called Peter; and Andrew his brother; James the son of Zebedee; and John, his brother; Judas Iscariot, who also betrayed him; and Matthias; and Thomas; and James the son of Alphaeus; and Lebbaeus, whose surname was Thaddeus; Simon called Zelotes; Judas; and Simon Iscariot, which also called Judas." Notice Peter is called "first." Matthew 16:16-17: Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the son of the living God. And Jesus answered and said unto him, Blessed art thou Simon Barjona: for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven that revealed it unto thee (Matthew 6:6). But they shall! God has always worked through one man, primarily, at a time. God chose David and worked through him. Two of David's sons, at different times, conspired to wrest David's throne from him. At this point I noticed beside my typewriter a letter I had not seen before from Queensland, Australia. I paused to read it. 'I quote,' it said, 'It is a great privilege to be your brother in the congregation of the Eternal!' (Numbers 16:1-3)."

"And the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed them up; and the rest died not, all that the ark came to, went down alive into the pit, and the earth closed upon them: and they perished and ought to know better are going to have to realize that He is the SAME GOD TODAY! We are in the trying and sifting time, right now, for God's Church. Notice it, in Daniel 12:9-10: At the time of the end. Many shall be purified, and made white, and tried; and the wicked shall be wicked; but the wise shall understand (Daniel 12:9-10)."

"And Jesus, walking by the sea of Galilee, saw two brethren, Simon called Peter, and Andrew his brother, casting a net into the sea. And he said unto them, Come ye after me, and I will make you fishers of men. Matthew 4:18-19, This is the first mention of calling His disciples, that is significant that Peter is named first.

Matthew 10:2: "Now the names of the twelve apostles were: Simon, which is called Peter; and Andrew his brother; James the son of Zebedee; and John, his brother; Judas Iscariot, who also betrayed him; and Matthias; and Thomas; and James the son of Alphaeus; and Lebbaeus, whose surname was Thaddeus; Simon called Zelotes; Judas; and Simon Iscariot, which also called Judas." Notice Peter is called "first." Matthew 16:16-17: Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ, the son of the living God. And Jesus answered and said unto him, Blessed art thou Simon Barjona: for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven that revealed it unto thee (Matthew 6:6). But they shall! God has always worked through one man, primarily, at a time. God chose David and worked through him. Two of David's sons, at different times, conspired to wrest David's throne from him. At this point I noticed beside my typewriter a letter I had not seen before from Queensland, Australia. I paused to read it. 'I quote,' it said, 'It is a great privilege to be your brother in the congregation of the Eternal!' (Numbers 16:1-3)."

"And the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed them up; and the rest died not, all that the ark came to, went down alive into the pit, and the earth closed upon them: and they perished and ought to know better are going to have to realize that He is the SAME GOD TODAY! We are in the trying and sifting time, right now, for God's Church. Notice it, in Daniel 12:9-10: At the time of the end. Many shall be purified, and made white, and tried; and the wicked shall be wicked; but the wise shall understand (Daniel 12:9-10)."
Jesus Christ says a house divided against itself cannot stand. A few "liberal" ministers have caused "division and offenses contrary to the doctrine which ye have learned," God's House has been divided. But NO LONGER!

Now, for example, we have a teaching that smoking is a sin. How did the Church receive that belief? Let me tell you. Fifty-two years ago, at the time of our election to the Apostleship I had to decide that question. I had been smoking—believe it or not! But God was leading me—about three cigarettes a day. But I had to decide whether it was right or wrong.

Now Adam and Eve took to themselves the knowledge of right and wrong. Only God can give us that knowledge, but I knew that. I had to decide at the time, for myself, but I knew I must look to Cursted, the Word of God, for the answer. Of course I knew the Bible contained the answer. By learning the story of Adam and Eve, I had learned the truth of II Corinthians 3:3, that sin is violation of the principle or intended meaning of the law.

So I asked myself: "Why do I smoke? To love or honor God?"

The spiritual law of God is a general principle, which, in its spirit, covers EVERY act. God intends for us today to apply the principle to every act. God's word does not write down every specific detail of the PRINCIPLE or SPIRIT of His Law! He expects us, with His Holy Spirit, to apply the principle to every circumstance, act or question. The whole duty of man is keeping God's Law in the SPIRIT—far more than the specific detailed letter. It is the ATTITUDE or the principle of the law. The law does not list every detail included in the duty of man.

Reduced to its simplest overall denominator, the whole law is the one word, LOVE. How does God's Church receive its doctrines, beliefs and teachings? Direct from God, through the Church of Jesus Christ as Head of the Church, and from Him through the apostles! NEVER by any others! A group of ministers appointing themselves as a Doctrinal Committee! So it was in the first century.

How did the Worldwide Church of God receive its doctrines, beliefs and teachings? EXCLUSIVELY THROUGH CHRIST'S APOSTLE! True, I have practiced the biblical principle that "in multitude of counsel there is safety." On many occasions some top-level ministers have contributed certain input that has helped me in setting doctrine. BUT EVERY DOCTRINE, BELIEF AND TEACHING IN THE WORLDWIDE CHURCH OF GOD HAS COME FROM CHRIST THROUGH HIS CHosen APOSTLE!

God's Answer, not "To extend a new form of concern, for the welfare of other people?" Again, NO! I knew that just as the one who smoked tobacco smoke objectionable. For "my own good—heath or otherwise?" Again, NO!

For although I did not know, or anybody else 52 years ago, that smoking was a cause of lung cancer, I did know the function of the lungs in filtering out impurities from the blood and in expelling impurities through the breath. And I knew that inhaling smoke only interfered with their normal functioning. On every count I found smoking was CONTRARY to the PRINCIPLE of God's Law! Therefore I knew it was a sin, and I stopped smoking and have not smoked for 52 years. Jesus Christ through me put into God's Church the teaching that smoking is wrong—mild or not, nevertheless, it is sin.

Now if I had decided that as some of our ministers wanted to descend from doctrine, I would have said, "The Bible does not contain a specific law against smoking." That is the way of the Church. We have a right to water down the truth of God and go as far into this world—whoever will, as the Church chose to— as possible and still get into God's Kingdom. The Church is the one who has a DIFFERENT APPROACH to questions of human conduct than God, or the original Church was never conquered by God and are being led by God's Holy Spirit.

What is God's attitude?

What is God's ATTITUDE? (See WHAT IS A LIBERAL, page 4)
Continued from page 3)

Does God really want to sin, but can't make up his mind to do it, because of his fear of resistance, he uses willpower and does not allow Himself to sin, in the words of Psalm 89:35, He is absolutely ABHORRENT to God? God does not WANT to sin! He has no such desire, has He? He is not tempted to sin!

God loves and desires righteousness and holiness: what He loves, desires and does!

Listen, my children, in the living Christ! Do you really want to smoke — want to have birthday parties — want to go along with this world in its ways? Is that what you really want to do? Do you have to use self-resistance, self-discipline and willpower to prevent going along with this world's ways?

If so, you have not yet been really conquered by the allowing God! If so, you have yet to lose the mind of Christ or His attitude or approach to problems or questions! Is so, you'd better begin TREMBLING at the Word of God!

Or, do you really hunger and thirst for God's righteousness and holiness? You can have both attitudes! You have one or the other! Which is it?

Are you like Mrs. Emma Smith, one of the new converts in 1933 who formed the parent church of the Worldwide Church of God? She came to me one day and said, "He spoke to me that day and His will left her some peace."

"How much of this do I have to tithe?" she asked. "I don't want to tithe any more. I have to start getting it into God's Kingdom!"

The doctrine of Balaam

She was, in principle and attitude, like Balaam, mentioned in Numbers 22. Balaam was a liberal — only perhaps more so. He listened to the dinheiro of the Moabites, and wanted to go into the land of the Israelites. Moses had finally encompassed the east side of the Jordan River in the wilderness, and had chosen to go into the land of Canaan. The Jews were just across the river from Jericho.

Balaam, the leader of the Moabites, was afraid, for the Israelites greatly outnumbered Moab. So he sent messengers to the sorcerer Balaam, a pater or pagan leader, apparently with bribe money, asking Balaam to remain over against the Jews, to pray for God, that he knew he could not do this evil unless God allowed it.

The point is, Balaam wanted the rewards of divination, which the messengers from Moab had brought. He wanted to go as far as the gift way of life, and was doing evil, as he could. But he knew his curse against Israel would be ineffective unless he had God's permission.

God said to Balaam, "... Thou shalt not curse the people: for they are blessed" (Numbers 22:12).

There is much more to the story, but the point I want to show here is the ATTITUDE of wanting to go as far in the way of evil as possible.

In Judges 1, God says, "Woe unto them for they ... ran greedily after the reward of Baal-m for reward ... " And in Revelation to the church at Pergamum, Jesus said, "... if I have but a few things against thee, because thou hast there them that hold the doctrine of Balaam!" (Revelation 2:14).

Christ is now purging out of His church those that hold the doctrine of Balaam. Balaam was a liberal.

The liberal is going also in the way of evil. Satan's evil attitude ...

... there was a day when the sons of God came to present themselves before the Eternal, and Satan came also among them. But the Lord God said to Satan, "... there is Satan the son of the Earth, a perfect and an upright man ... "(Job 1:6-8).

Satan served God in self-interest, for God had given him all his material wealth. But if God would allow Satan to take all his possessions from him, Satan said Job would curse God.

The Eternal answered, "... Behold, all that he hath is in thy power; only upon himself put not forth thine hand" (verse 12).

Satan destroyed all Job's wealth and even his sons and daughters. But Satan caused other humans to do his evil. Yet Job maintained his own righteousness and refused to curse God.

Satan tried again. Satan argued that a man would give all he had for his own life. But he contended that if God would allow him to do job's person, Job would curse God. God allowed this, but said, "save his life."

Did you ever have a boil? I did — just once, many, many years ago. That boil was painful. It was nearly unbearable. And that was only one boil. I imagine what would be to face head to foot! But still he did not curse God. In the end God spoke and thought of his great sin was Satan could not ascertain. It was self-righteousness. When God spoke, opening Job's eyes to his self-righteousness, Job repented deeply, and God gave back to him two-fold for all he had allowed Satan to destroy.

The point I want to make here is that Satan, the liberal, is no different than God allows but Satan wanted to inflict as much evil destruction and harm as possible.

It is Satan's ATTITUDE that is his prime evil. He does not hunger and thirst for God's law. He harbors only the deep-rooted desire to do evil — to go contrary to God's LAW of LOVE and rightousness! He wanted to go as far as he could, and to go contrary to God's WAY. That was in his heart.

The liberal attitude

The liberal among us today wants to get into God's Kingdom, but he wants to go along with this world's ways as far as God will allow and make it into the Kingdom. The liberal has deceived himself! I do not believe the liberal realizes his own attitude. He really wants to go along with this world's ways as far as God will allow and make it into the Kingdom of God — he wants to receive the eternal rewards of happiness, rest and eternal life in security. But he still would like to hang on to some of this world's ways.

He doesn't want to tithe, unless he can see beyond any of his arguments there is a specific law compelling him to tithe. He wants to be free to vote, at least in local elections.

The point I want to make is to me that our Church people actually outnumbered others living in Sand Stry, Tex., but (what a big word is "but") you see, if they did not vote, the majority of God's people would lose the election. So he could not ascertain. It was self-righteousness. When God spoke, opening Job's eyes to his self-righteousness, Job repented deeply, and God gave back to him two-fold for all he had allowed Satan to destroy.

By Mrs. Emma Smith, who was too liberal to be a child in Christ and had not grown sufficiently as yet in His grace and knowledge of the truth. Balaam, like Satan — the liberal wants to see how close he can come to the precipice without falling and God will say, "Get thee behind me, Satan. Ye have human reason to justify straying partly to the ways of God's Law. They want to keep the law in the strict letter, but not in spirit.

In his heart, the liberal would like to "go along" at least part way with this world.

"What is the mind of Christ?"

But God says, "... this mind be it you, which was also in Christ Jesus" (Philippians 2:5).

What is the mind of Christ? What is His attitude — His approach to viewing and deciding all activities? Does He, as I asked before, really want to sin, to think and act contrary to His law, but because of His super power, restrain Himself?

We know He has never sinned. But does that mean that He has such supernatural power to restrain Himself?

A THOUSAND TIMES NO! The living Church has never sinned because He does not want to sin! What is His mind, that should be also in us? It is the mind that hates sin, that loves righteousness. It's a matter of motive.

The liberal is not hungering and thirsting after righteousness! He wants to do only as much as he has to, to receive the reward of righteousness in this life. He has not yet fully gotten rid of the evil attitude, and come into the give attitude.

It is Satan who deceives and puts into many human minds the desire to go as far into this world as he thinks God will allow — and into its ways of vanity and tax-fraud (carneal, secular) — vanity of mind.

Having the attitude of the "motive of Christ" is the attitude of the mind of Christ!
**Comments from recent letters from members**

By Herbert W. Armstrong

*Quite often, in letters from businessmen, a corning is said that makes me wish you were all here, looking over my shoulder, so I could share these inspiring things with you.*

*Today I have just felt impelled to quote from two or three very recent letters.*

*I was quite inspired and pleased by a letter the other day from one who had read our book, *The Incredible Human Potential*. Three times and was now reading it again.*

*Somehow that letter got filed away (we receive letters here at Tucson now by the thousands every day), and I can’t put my hands on it at the minute.*

*Another letter in this regard to the same book, made available to all members at the Feast of Tabernacles.*

*This letter I will quote with great thanksgiving. It has been his wish that you were all here, to quote from two or three very interesting letters that we receive here all the time.*

*The government of California is committing against the Worldwide Church of God.*

*The above quoted from a letter addressed to ex-Judge Steven S. Weisman, receiver. I am sure that thousands of red-blooded intelligent letters have been written to Mr. Weisman, or the State Attorney General’s Office.*

*From Norco, Calif., Ron Martin writes: “The reason I am writing this letter is that I know you want to know the condition of the local congregations in my area. Liberalism has spread severely. I want to share some thoughts with you.***

*First we should consider one of the basic fundamental differences between Satan’s way of life and that of God. My thoughts on this subject have been developed several years ago after discussing God’s law with an agnostic skeptic.*

*He pointed out my weakness and bad relative to your point of view, an example is that what may seem good to me may indeed seem bad to an Egyptian.*

*At first I dismissed that observation as mere argumentative rhetoric. Later on, I realized the significance of that statement. What it boils down to is this: Right and wrong and good and bad may seem different to different people depending on their point of view, in other words, in a society or church to live together in peace and harmony, it is necessary that everyone agree to the same basic point of view on certain critical points. Otherwise any group of people will lead to animosity, hatred, and violence.*

*Let me state that again another way. Under Satan’s plan, each person decides for himself what is good and what is evil, and that is exactly what you preached, Eve! He told Eve that if she judged for herself what was good and what was evil by trying the fruit of the tree, she would be like God.*

*So, whenever I hear a minister preach about the current constitutional amendments, whether the underlying theme is submission to God’s definitions of good and evil or by examining the tree, God tells us that the only way we can have peace and harmony is if we all agree to live by His point of view.*

**Members describe guarantees for freedom of religion in U.S.**

**Television Department documents controversy**

**PASADENA — An hour-long documentary film is being produced by the Work’s Television Production Department covering all the events since receivership was thrust upon the Church Jan. 1. A press conference Feb. 2, Chairman Stanley Rader indicated the film will be aired on top markets around the country.**

*A spokesman for the TV team said the film will be shown at various places since receivership was thrust upon the Church Jan. 1. A press conference Feb. 2, Chairman Stanley Rader indicated the film will be produced by the Work’s Television Production Department covering all the events since receivership was thrust upon the Church Jan. 1. A press conference Feb. 2, Chairman Stanley Rader indicated the film will be aired on top markets around the country.**

*A spokesman for the TV team said the film will be shown at various places since receivership was thrust upon the Church Jan. 1. A press conference Feb. 2, Chairman Stanley Rader indicated the film will be aired on top markets around the country.**
Mr. Rader's media statement on transcript of proceedings

PASADENA — Following is a statement to the media by Church treasurer Stanley R. Rader at a press conference in the Hall of Administration Feb. 7.

I appear before you — an angry man — but supported by the Living God, my wife, and the body of Christ and the spiritual resources of His Church. We have finally discovered the evidenee that confirms, without any doubt, Mr. Rader was pointed because of flagrant misrepresentations to the court and, indeed, flagrant misconduct by the attorney general, the receiver, the plaintiffs' attorneys and the court itself.

We are distributing to the press today, and across the nation a newly discovered transcript of the secret proceedings before Judge Jerry I. Pacht on Jan. 2, 1979 — secret proceedings that resulted in the initial appointment of a receiver and the first restraining order. Judge Pacht's issuance of these orders creates a presumption of our wrongdoing in the minds of every judge who has considered the matter since — and I refer you to the transcript of a receiver despite no evidence of wrongdoing.

This transcript shows that the would-be receiver, the deputy attorney general and plaintiffs' counsel were granted an informal meeting with Judge Pacht even before any action was filed. This is contrary to the statute and judicial ethics. When Judge Pacht expressed his concern about the imposition of a receiver upon a church, his concern was over­come by the deputy attorney general's misrepresentations that compelling evidence existed showing that the Church was preparing to sell its college in Big Sandy, Tex., for $20 million below its true value. Judge Pacht then called this the crusher and told the deputy attorney general and the attorneys for the plaintiffs that he would not make the application for a receiver if it were filed.

Only after convincing themselves that they had been successful in defeating the court and would obtain their cooperation did the deputy attorney general file the complaint against Judge Pacht and the order to determine if the receiver should continue, the Church again raised the question of the ap­parently nonexistent reporter's tran­script, and the deputy attorney general did not say a word. Earlier the court clerk had stated that there had been no court reporter present and, hence, no transcript. Notwithstanding the at­torney general's admission that he had failed to produce any convincing evidence that Big Sandy was about to be sold for $20 million below its true value, Judge Title continued the re­ceivership based upon a presumption that Judge Pacht would never have appointed the receiver in the first place without a strong showing of wrongdoing.

It should be apparent to all, par­ticularly those of you who have read the Roilans distributed to you today, that the Church has beenrailroaded into a receivership and subsequent misrep­resentations, judging and un­American presumptions of guilt.

We intend to bring this transcript with all of its most serious implica­tions to the attention of the U.S. at­orney general, Griffin Bell, to the State attorney general, George Deukmejian, to the Council on Judi­cial Qualifications, to the State Bar Assn., the Nothing Association and request an im­mediate investigation, disciplinary proceedings and such other relief as this court might be able to provide.

I am concerned about the ex­propriation of charitable funds which are organizing and sub­mitting demands by the people if they are misused, or litigate them if they are questionable or resist them if they are not. But he has to do that in the name of and on behalf of the charitable funds and this court.

No, we do not believe that the information that there is a shredder in his office is fictional; but by the same token, we haven't seen the shredder.

(See REPORTER'S, page 7)

Mr. Rader's transcript of proceedings

Los Angeles, Calif., Tuesday, Jan. 2, 1979, seventeenth inning.

The following proceedings were had in chambers:

The Court: This is the matter of The People of the State of California and others vs. Worldwide Church of God, Inc. — Ambassador Internatio­nal Cultural Foundation, Inc., ... the office of the charitable fund and the State Bar into such a shameful light.


I am concerned about the expropriation of charitable funds which are organizing and submitting demands by the people if they are misused, or litigate them if they are questionable or resist them if they are not. But he has to do that in the name of and on behalf of the charitable funds and this court.

No, we do not believe that the information that there is a shredder in his office is fictional; but by the same token, we haven't seen the shredder.

(See REPORTER'S, page 7)

Mr. Tapper: Maybe I could dispel that, Judge. The Court: Go ahead. Mr. Tapper: I am reminded of the words of Shirley Hufstedler when she was in the Court of Appeal, and it was no more certain as to the plaintiffs' rights in terms of the being finally defined as it is here, but there is strong reason to be suspi­cious, and she said, "What the defend­ant suggests is that the plaintiff should take a test to determine whether it is a mushroom or a toad­stool." And that is essentially what we are faced with here.

Nobody can tell Your Honor how many pieces of paper are being shredded per minute, per hour, per day. The Court: If any. We do believe that they are being shredded. We believe that the information that there is a shredder in his office is fictional; but by the same token, we haven't seen the shredder.

(See REPORTER'S, page 7)
**Auditorium Lobby** — Stanley R. Rader holds a press conference Feb. 8 in the lobby of the Ambassador Auditorium. The events surrounding the Church's legal battles continue to attract extensive media coverage in the Los Angeles area.

(See Treauser, page 11)
C. Pasadena, a progressive city contributing to the Legal Department's summary of events

On Jan. 3, 1979, a court order of receivership was entered against Ambassador College, an institution which primarily trains students for the ministry but also offers other educational programs. The court appointed Judge Julius R. Tapper as receiver, who was also a former Church employee. Judge Tapper has since been accused of misconduct by members of the Church, and the dispute has escalated into a legal battle between the Church and the State of California.

The Church, a religious institution of God, has faced legal challenges related to the separation of church and state. In 1979, the State of California sought to dissolve the Church, alleging that it was operating as a secular organization rather than a religious body. The Church opposed this action, arguing that it was a religious organization under the First Amendment. The case went to trial, and the judge ruled in favor of the Church, declaring that it was a religious organization.

However, the case was appealed, and the decision was reversed by a higher court. The case was then appealed again, and in 1982, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in favor of the Church, stating that it was a religious organization protected by the First Amendment.

In the meantime, the Church continued to operate and expand its worldwide influence. It has established hundreds of churches and missions, reaching millions of people around the world. The Church's leaders have been involved in multiple legal battles, including lawsuits and court cases, which have been ongoing for decades.

Despite the legal challenges, the Church has continued to grow and prosper, with thousands of members in over 100 countries. The Church's leaders have been accused of misconduct and financial improprieties, but the Church has denied these allegations and continues to operate as a religious organization. The case remains ongoing, as the Church and its detractors continue to fight over its legal status and operations.
I never even had anything in the newspaper today. Rather interesting.

But I'm just going to give you a list of questions I think you should have, where we are, and all of you can play a role. And before the presence of the authorities as well, inasmuch as all your rights have been violated. On your rights to counsel, and on the evidence and papers, etc., were delivered to Judge Pacht. And later that day the attorney general of the State of California withdrew another attorney named [Hugh John] Gibson. Weinter, I guess that com- plemented it from somebody who thinks we considered California the fringe somewhere, but a man who God's stewardship will see that the money isn't spent in fulfilling God's overall plan.

So henceforth Pasadena will simply issue notices to the State Bar, and we will seek whatever relief we can achieve.

We also intend to take the matter concerning the receivership by order of a Los Angeles Superior Court judge.

We have some pretty good witnesses to most of these things. And most of them know it doesn't mean anything, as soon as the matter has been aired. That was what happened on that oc-

currence.

And frankly, it was a three-hour-and-twenty-minute interview, and there was nothing but a cold silence. And look mad exception to the rule. And I know what that they have some indication on their part that they were going to do a 60 Minutes show that would include a segment concerning the Worldwide Church of God, that maybe we could do ourselves the most good, in court, to give an opportunity to talk with a person that I think is very important.

And when I asked, "Are you suggesting that that is a letter from Mr. Armstrong?" and I said, "If you are, you are in a fabrica-

tion." Then he said, "Would you recogniz- e Mr. Armstrong's voice?" I said, "Of course." And then, foolishly, in my opinion (but maybe the evidence are also foolish, I don't know), I played the tape for the very first time. And our lawyer was there the entire time. He had two tapes -- one of the pieces, one of which was a letter from Mr. Herbert Armstrong to some named party. And I was not, and I very quickly challenged him, and I stated, "Am I suggesting that is a letter from Mr. Armstrong?" And I said, "If you are, you are in a fabrica-

tion."

So henceforth Pasadena will just issue notices to the State Bar, and we will seek whatever relief we can achieve.

We also intend to take the matter concerning the receivership by order of a Los Angeles Superior Court judge.

We have some pretty good witnesses to most of these things. And most of them know it doesn't mean anything, as soon as the matter has been aired. That was what happened on that oc-

currence.

And frankly, it was a three-hour-and-twenty-minute interview, and there was nothing but a cold silence. And look mad exception to the rule. And I know what that they have some indication on their part that they were going to do a 60 Minutes show that would include a segment concerning the Worldwide Church of God, that maybe we could do ourselves the most good, in court, to give an opportunity to talk with a person that I think is very important.

And when I asked, "Are you suggesting that that is a letter from Mr. Armstrong?" and I said, "If you are, you are in a fabrica-

tion." Then he said, "Would you recogniz- e Mr. Armstrong's voice?" I said, "Of course." And then, foolishly, in my opinion (but maybe the evidence are also foolish, I don't know), I played the tape for the very first time. And our lawyer was there the entire time. He had two tapes -- one of the pieces, one of which was a letter from Mr. Herbert Armstrong to some named party. And I was not, and I very quickly challenged him, and I stated, "Am I suggesting that is a letter from Mr. Armstrong?" And I said, "If you are, you are in a fabrica-

tion."
don't think so. I don't think the press would either.

Seeing the possibility that we may have to move our headquarters from Pasadena if that comes about, what looks best as a possibility for reestablishing a headquarters, would be Tucson [Ariz.].

I don't think headquarters is what we're trying to establish. We are a worldwide organization, and wheresever headquarters is, that's where we're headquartered. And Mr. Armstrong is, physically, here in Pasadena. The people where the headquarters is actually, and who's true representative he's in Tucson at that moment, then the next day he's in Pasadena, or sometime the following week in Texas, or Florida or Cairo, where we're going, or Tokyo, or one or the head of the Work is, that's where the headquarters is.

All we're talking about is making certain that our financial resources, because the Work's financial resources do come into the state of California, where they can be subject to this type of attack by the State.

The State has revealed itself in many ways, by the way, which were manifested not only to us. You know, these things are a process of learning. I don't think we can take the position that we can't even sell property here and take the proceeds out of the state of California. In other words, 90 percent of what is here is the result of our Statement of Intent taxe and other offerings from people elsewhere. And yet they would have you believe that this is a property, and as some property cannot be removed from the state.

So we will not being any fresh wealth into this state until such time as the State stops taking the property. And yet, Mr. Armstrong can get paid his Weather Channel salary, and Mr. Dr. Meredith has, at least he has not done the line.

Mr. Armstrong just kind of laugh about it anyway because there is no complaint before him. He doesn't feel he could much prefer to discuss these matters with these people in this area. Mr. Armstrong and he says, "Are you willing to be involved in it"? Judge Weisman and he says, "Yes, you are willing to be involved in it."

"As a lay person?" "Yes, I am. And you see no impediment that would prevent from acting, if you were in the (?)

The only impediments you have any polos, and that's not going to prevent me - "You have managed well, probably with that for some months. But you are on the record that I am a lay person about quitting the Church and, therefore, you have a showing what which warrants some relief.

So then they found out that it wasn't going to be a sinecure, because no one was going to let people come in and out of this place and play dead, and pay one man $150 an hour for standing here and doing something else, for what is it? $150 an hour for helping him sit around, and his daughter, who would help him sit around, and ad infinitum. All of a sudden it become little too tough.

Well, let me tell you, when he puts that account to work, we're going to surcharge him, and we're going to try to make it stick. And sure enough, when we're through with him, we're going to establish, hopefully, that he has no right to sell property, or, more so, that he'll never be able to do this to anybody else.

He's a man who's looking for a job as a referee, looking for a job as a referee for a job where the credibility is going to have to be called into question constantly. How many of you would recommend him as a referee, as a referee, or as a referee? How many of you would like have an arbitration proceeding before that kind of man? Well, it answers itself. Now even the press wouldn't.

I don't think so. I don't think the press would either.

Ms. Weisman: "Yes.

I can use a cane as a prop."

I was a little queasy about that, l could do my

Mr. Armstrong: "What he did, he filed a motion to suspend me, as it stated, in a court, and that also he had all the allegations about my conduct after he became receiver, as well as false and false and false and false allegations about my position and my functions before he ever got on the scene.

And then, in addition, claimed that I and Mr. Helge and others had given him a financial statement and he never stepped foot on the campus.

We, all only judged Weisman twice in the history of our ADF. Now what they told him was that he's got a $125,000 kickoff on the sale. It was a couple of years ago, and he wanted to present an appraisal of that. I simulate this was around a million dollars and was being sold for $10.6 million on the very deal that he wanted to kill because he said the property was worth $30 million, in reality it was worth

And that was the strongest thing that appeared in this transcript, in the words of the receiver, and what do you think, in the words of the receiver, and what do you think, in the words of the receiver, and what do you think, in the words of the receiver.

Mr. Armstrong would have no right to sell property, and I have never had a right to sell property here. But be certainly has no right. He certainly has no right to pay himself. And all other bills are being paid by moneys provided by his unwilling and voluntary tithes and offerings. He won't pay, he can't pay.

Mr. Rader, it seems to me that there are still dissidents in the Church, and we in the area because last week (I'm a student here, by the way) I received an interesting letter, was a Xerox copies and a letter from the "Armstrongian" and a subscription form. And I just like to make a comment that whoever sent that to me, don't ever send me anything again!

Let me tell you this, there, probably are some, but I'm the last person in the world, to be able to tell you who they are. There are many, we were demonstrating (supposedly dissipaters that were demonstrating at the Danforth Processing Center), I drove up with Aaron Dean. The order that we were able to call in all the people. I thought they were the friends. I couldn't tell the good people. I couldn't tell the bad people. At least, if they had put, the least they could do was not to send things.

Mr. Rader, I was wondering, since the press is here, if you could explain how Armstrongian health is, and maybe a little bit about the government of the Church. But I don't understand and come at us from a different angle. I think you're coming at us.

I don't really think the press has done any damage at all, one way or the other. You know, there are exceptions to all the rules, and maybe, just by accident, they have done a better job than I would have thought. I think they realize that they have this real problem, if the work is accountable to God, as we all are, but we're all accountable to him also, to Mr. Armstrong.

Mr. Armstrong is the one who hires these people, who pay the people to the boards and others. I don't think, we're confident about that. I think they realize what rule from the top mean, what's happening. They missed the point that there were some dissidents who would have liked to change all that, and they would like to make this Church into a congregationalist form.

Well, that's very nice, but it isn't going to happen. They are right to have a right to make it happen, and the State has no right to try to make it happen. As a matter of fact, that violates the establishment of the First Amendment. The State, by bringing, bringing, bringing the ADF for the State's sake, is in essence saying, explicitly, because one of the things that the Supreme Court does to change the government. They are saying, we don't like this kind of Church, we want this to be a congregationalist-type church, and the State is trying to stop this group over the other group to change the structure of the Church.

As far as Mr. Armstrong's health is concerned, I know that NBC [National Broadcasting Company] it's pretty weirdly, Los Angeles Times in the Los Angeles, in the Los Angeles, and they reported Mr. Armstrong was healthy and vigorous, as well as others, and so the health, we should all be healthy, that's all.
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The receivver itself. They were here to look over certain records. Have you given them all the records they want, and what are they specifically still looking for that they have not yet received?

In the Supreme Court we have a motion, and we hope that the Supreme Court will grant a hearing to the petition that we have filed. We have not used the appellate procedure, we have not gotten into the higher courts faster by way of a request or petition for rapid relief, because the extraordinary nature of damages being done to us.

Of course, the Supreme Court of the United States could still say, No, you go back to the appellate procedure. But our brief, the appellate brief, in the District Court of Appeals, seek your relief, if you will. But we also believe if the Supreme Court should not grant us the relief and deny it, that would constitute what is called a final order within the meaning of Title 28 U.S.C.

Really, what occurred, was very well taken care of. By the time we got to the Supreme Court, they had taken care of it. As Judge Title said, "an astonishing number of cases have been disposed of by the circuit courts of appeals." I think it's all there, and, of course, there is a request or petition for rapid relief, but I think it's all there, and everyone will have had a chance to see what happens, and we can all spot them. Maybe I'll know the fuller story.

Mr. Rader, in December you sort of delayed answering any question about the plaintiff's case, because you said you did not want to preempt Mr. Armstrong. Now it's been quite a while since then, so I wonder if you can explain any more about what was accomplished?

I don't know what the article on the trip, it's an article about the 65th anniversary issue, which I received the 45th anniversary issue of. I don't know if you received it. Maybe I'll know the fuller story.

I read the transcript last night, and I was under the impression that a receiver was a neutral party, and I would think you would get the impression that Chomos selected Weisman, and then we all went to the court first time that's correct?

The right. They not only selected him, they went before the court. It seems to me that you have a problem, and you have a judge who will be there. You have a receiver who will be there. Then, if someone had to be put in there, it would be someone that all the parties agreed to, and we should be lucky enough to have the quantum of material.

The Supreme Court has not received a 15-page letter from him, the day before the 15-page article. The article is titled, 'We've got a big board now,' to make the point, the quantum of material.

We have had a chance of listening to another group that maybe I will know the fuller story.

Mr. Rader, in December you sort of delayed answering any question about the plaintiff's case, because you said you did not want to preempt Mr. Armstrong. Now it's been quite a while since then, so I wonder if you can explain any more about what was accomplished?

I don't know what the article on the trip, it's an article about the 65th anniversary issue, which I received the 45th anniversary issue of. I don't know if you received it. Maybe I'll know the fuller story.

I read the transcript last night, and I was under the impression that a receiver was a neutral party, and I would think you would get the impression that Chomos selected Weisman, and then we all went to the court first time that's correct?

The right. They not only selected him, they went before the court. It seems to me that you have a problem, and you have a judge who will be there. You have a receiver who will be there. Then, if someone had to be put in there, it would be someone that all the parties agreed to, and we should be lucky enough to have the quantum of material.

We have had a chance of listening to another group that maybe I will know the fuller story.
PASADENA — The Pastoral Administration Office has announced the following numerical changes and comments in the ministry: Washington, D.C., pastor Larry Saltman has been appointed area coordinator, replacing Burk McNair, who is moving into new housing. Replacing Mr. Saltman as pastor of the Washington, D.C., area coordinator, he will remain in Washington, which will now be the seat of the Washington office. Mr. McNair’s place in pastor in Charlotte will be filled by George Flecken, now associate pastor there.

Rodney Matthews, head of the local church administration office in Australia, will be moving from the Burleigh Heads office to Pasadena to become the coordinator of the interarea offices under the Pastoral Administration Office.

The following men are no longer in the ministry: Rand Carnes, Enid, Okla.; Larry Smith, Earl Timmons; Robert Plache, Chester Robert, Manassas, Va.; and Richard Plache, Richmond, Va. Those who have been recently ordained and two attorneys representing the plaintiffs, Mr. Ihillel Chodos and Mr. Robert Oberlander, announced the following new appointments:

1. Put all Church funds in a separate account.
2. Control of the Church .
3. Put all church records in a separate account.
4. Conduct an audit of all Church documents.
5. Put all Church members in a separate account.
6. Take possession and control of the Church.

(Continued from page 8)

(Continued from page 6)